## CS 32 Lecture 4: Searching


"Have you tried searching under 'fruitless'?"

## Naming Things

- Two main problems in computing
- Naming things
- Caching things
- Pointer vs. value
- Off-by one errors


## Seen in the Wild

- UpdateThread
- A function that updates a Thread
- update:verb; thread:noun
- A particular kind (Update) of Thread
- update:adjective; thread:noun
- A function that threads an Update
- update:noun; thread:verb


## First or Last?

- For a label object that will hold a date, what should we name its variable?
- dateLabel
- labelDate
- Note this violates previous advice


## Code Completion

- If your IDE has code completion, it will start with the first letters of variable names
- Easier to use if the most generic part of the name is first
- Good for alphabetical sorts also
- Where else do we see this?


## Examples

- 2017-03-13
- Homo sapiens
- Mao Zedong
- Hungarian prefix notation
- ??? Must be more


## Sidebar

- goto Considered Harmful
- March 1968, Dijkstra
- Wirth changed the title
- "goto Considered Harmful" Considered Harmful
- March 1987, Rubin
- ""goto Considered Harmful" Considered harmful" Considered Harmful


## switch harmful?

- Back in favor
- Swift has upgraded switch statements
- Pattern matching
- where clauses
- Enum-aware


## Scope

- Nesting function calls
- Tracked with a LIFO (stack)
- Locals vanish when you exit scope
- But globals are frowned upon
- What to do?


## Pointers

- Everybody's friend
- Frenemy at best
- Pointer is "one level of indirection" away from the value
- Need the flexibility
- Hate the consequences


## Down With Globals?

- Pointers usually point to
- Strings
- Images
- Vectors or arrays
- Instances of classes
- aka objects


## Mr Big

- Very often there are classes or data structures that are unique
- Singletons
- Can't live with them
- Can't live without them


## Linear Search

- Example given in the text

```
while i < n && !found {
    if a[i] // is the desired item {
        found = true;
    } else {
        ++i;
    }
}
```


## Average Performance

- First-pass analysis gives
$(1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10) \div 10=5.5$
- Some assumptions there
- HW has a handout sheet on this


## Convex Sum

- Particular case of this general idea
- $X=\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{p}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$
- Where $\sum p_{i}=1$ (aka 100\%)
- A linear combination
- Also used in atomic weights


## Random Value

- $X=\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{p}_{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}_{n}$
- We say that $X$ is a random variable
- Each time you ask it what it is, it gives a different answer!
- But there could be an average answer


## Our case

- $\mathrm{E}[X]=1 \cdot 10 \%+2 \cdot 10 \%+\cdots \cdot 10 \cdot 10 \%$
- Same as before, comes out to 5.5
- Why are we going into this convex sum stuff?
- Need it to improve the answer


## Problems

- Calculation assumes each index is equally likely to be the winner
- We will let that go by
- But also... what if search fails?


## Solutions

- Let's assume...
- Search fails half the time
- All other outcomes are equally likely
- What convex sum do we get?


## Pessimistic

- $\mathrm{E}[X]=1 \cdot 5 \%+2 \cdot 5 \%+\cdots 10 \cdot 5 \%+10 \cdot 50 \%$
- Comes to 7.75
- More fails $\Rightarrow$ worse performance


## Better Than This?

- Could there be any kind of search more wonderful than linear search?
- Glad you asked
- Binary
- Hashing
- Who knows what else?


## Binary Search

- Requires the elements be pre-sorted
- Which in turn implies a relation on the elements
- Every pair of elements must be comparable aka total ordering
- What orderings are not total?
- Subset relations


## Sub-Problems

- Start in middle
- Which side must the target be in?
- Go to the middle of that side
- Repeat until... what?


## Binary In Action

## Search for 16



## Book Code

- The book's code is reasonable
- So many chances for off-by-ones
- You may have found this out in the first lab


## Basic Truth

- What sort of worst-case performance would you expect?
- $O\left(\log _{2} n\right)$
- If you've taken CS 40, that is
- Otherwise we have to explain


## Halving Function

- $H(n)=$ the number of times you can divide $n$ by 2 before it gets to 1
- Very closely related to $\log _{2} n$
- Basically, off by 1
- Good way to teach logarithms


## STL Searches

- Operations in <algorithm>
- Work with Iterators
- We will look at binary search


## Code From Somewhere

template< class ForwardIt, class T > bool binary_search( ForwardIt first, ForwardIt last, const T\& value );
template< class ForwardIt, class T, class Compare > bool binary_search( ForwardIt first, ForwardIt last, const T\& value, Compare comp );

- Uses templates
- What does that mean?


## Kinda Like Overloading

```
void printMe (string s) {
    cout << "string s = \"" << s << "\"" << endl ;
}
```

void printMe (int i) \{
cout << "int i = " << i << endl ;
\}
void printMe (string s, int i) \{
cout $\ll$ s << " " << i << endl ;
\}

- Use overloading to do different things to different types


## But Different

template< class ForwardIt, class T > bool binary_search( ForwardIt first, ForwardIt last, const T\& value );
template< class ForwardIt, class T >
ForwardIt lower_bound( ForwardIt first, ForwardIt last, const T\& value );
template< class ForwardIt, class T >
ForwardIt upper_bound( ForwardIt first, ForwardIt last, const T\& value );

- Use templates to do the same thing to different types


## STL Has It All

- Algorithms are independent of containers
- Specific versions of functions are created at build time (aka statically)
- Try to use classes, \&-references and forget about pointers


## Read!

- Problem Solving 8.3, 17, 18
- Which is Templates and STL

